

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
N. A. CAH LIM	10/087,125	BALDWIN, JOHN R.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ronald W Leja	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment of 3/1</u>	<u>12/04</u> .	
2. A The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-24.		
3. The drawings filed on 04 March 2002 are accepted by the I	Examiner.	
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the company of the proper of the priority of the priority of the deposent attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT is attached Exami	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this communication to file a reply ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declara t be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- as Amendment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in the BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in	national stage application from the complying with the requirements. 'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient. 948) attached Office action of the back) of d). must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amenda	te

Application/Control Number: 10/087,125

Art Unit: 2836

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance: Applicant's arguments of 3/12/04 are considered to be persuasive. The attached Appendix A along with the arguments concerning Claims 7 and 11 on Page 11 of the Response has led the Examiner to realize that "chip U1" of Aromin (6,052,266), which is the same or a close variation to the chip found in the Attached Appendix A and relied upon for part of the fault sensing circuit of Applicant, cannot be reasonably considered as Applicant's "processing device coupled to an output of said fault sensing circuit for receiving said fault signal" (for Claim 7) and " a processing device ... is adapted to receive more than one of said input signals" (for Claim 11). In fact Aromin discloses that chip U1 is part of the fault detection circuit (21) receiving a single signal. The output of the chip is actually providing the "fault signal" found within the claims and there does not appear to be strong motivation for inputting that signal into a "processing device" prior to operating the contacts as required by the claims. As such, Independent Claims 7 and 11 are considered to be novel and unobvious in view of the Prior Art of Record. In view of the arguments and the amendments to Independent Claims 1,18 and 22 these claims are considered to novel and unobvious in view of the Prior Art of Record. There is no motivation to modify the booster circuit (19) and power supply circuit (17) of Aromin so as to meet the claim language of Claims 1, 18 and 22. Claims 23 and 24 were previously considered allowable and do not need commenting upon at this time.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,125

Art Unit: 2836

Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W Leja whose telephone number is (571)272-2053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2836

Page 3

rwl March 21, 2004